

HEATING HISTORY OF THE SOLAR NEIGHBOURHOOD WITH GAIA DR1

JASON SANDERS, INSTITUTE OF ASTRONOMY, CAMBRIDGE THE SCIENCE OF GAIA AND FUTURE CHALLENGES, LUND

OUTLINE

Background
 Modelling

2. Before Gaia
 5. Solar motion

With Gaia
 Round-up

INTRODUCTION: HEATING HISTORY OF THE GALAXY

- Long been known that older disc populations are dynamically hotter (e.g. Roman 1950, Parenago 1950)
- Non-axisymmetries in the potential scatter stars from circular orbits to eccentric (Spitzer & Schwarzschild, 1953). The older stars undergo more scattering.
- Non-axisymmetric features
 - 1. Spiral arms —> primarily radial scattering/radial heating
 - 2. Giant Molecular Clouds -> can convert radial to vertical motion
 - 3. bar (less important at Sun)
 - 4. satellites/dark-matter sub halos (less important at Sun maybe important in outer disc)
- Or are older stars born hotter



TABLE 1

Roman 1950

HEATING HISTORY OF THE GALAXY — PRE-GAIA



Spectroscopic

Geneva-Copenhagen survey Casagrande et al. (2011) Biased to younger stars 10,000 stars In the solar neighbourhood, the velocity dispersion is approx. power-law with age, $\sigma \sim \tau^{\beta}$

Slope ~gives rate of heating although velocity dispersion vs. age \neq heating rate with time (Aumer, Binney & Schoenrich 2016b)

Confused by age uncertainties non-trivial but typically constant relative age error.

HEATING HISTORY OF THE GALAXY — PRE-GAIA



Astrometric

Hipparcos + Tycho-2 Dehnen & Binney (1998) Aumer & Binney (2009) 15,000 stars Alternative perspective using main-sequence stars.

Redder populations contain older stars

Superposition of different age populations beyond turn-off colour for oldest populations. Parenago discontinuity gives max. age.

Locally, with only proper motions, we can reconstruct full 3D distribution by using full sampling over the sphere.

Aumer & Binney (2009) find $\beta_R=0.31$, $\beta_z=0.45$

WHAT WILL GAIA SHED LIGHT ON?

How does 'thick disc' fit into the picture?

can $\sigma(\tau)$ be explained by continuous thin disc heating or is there space for step in σ + age errors

What is the spatial dependence of heating?

can we detect variation in β due to relative importance of different heating mechanisms?

HEATING HISTORY OF THE GALAXY ---- WITH GAIA





Astrometric

Gaia DR1: TGAS (Tycho-Gaia Astrometric solution) APASS photometry 400,000 stars

Spectroscopic RAVE DR5 (-on)+TGAS Kunder et al. (2017), Casey et al. (2017) Ages from isochrones 80,000 stars

HEATING HISTORY OF THE GALAXY — WITH GAIA



Astrometric

Gaia DR1: TGAS (Tycho-Gaia Astrometric solution) **APASS** photometry 400,000 stars

Spectroscopic RAVE DR5 (-on)+TGAS Kunder et al. (2017), Casey et al. (2017) Ages from isochrones 80,000 stars

 $\sigma_{
m tot}$

DETAILS — TGAS MAIN SEQUENCE

Method

 Cut out main sequence.
 Correct for rotation field using Oort constants.
 Average projected propermotions over sphere to find dispersions [Dehnen & Binney 1998]

Extinction correction

Reddening vector in (G-K) vs. (J-H) offset from stellar locus (also noted by Poggia et al. 2017).

Extinction estimated from this offset folded with a 3d extinction prior from Green et al. (2015) [where available] and an isochrone prior.





RESULTS — TGAS MAIN SEQUENCE

HEATING HISTORY OF THE GALAXY ---- WITH GAIA

Astrometric

Gaia DR1: TGAS (Tycho-Gaia Astrometric solution) APASS photometry 400,000 stars

Spectroscopic

RAVE DR5 (-on)+TGAS Kunder et al. (2017), Casey et al. (2017) Ages from isochrones 80,000 stars

DETAILS — TGAS+RAVE AGES

Method

Spectro-photometric Bayesian distance computation from Burnett & Binney (2010) using parallaxes from TGAS — age is a by-product

Using RAVE-on spectroscopic parameters from Casey et al. (2017) (obtained using Cannon approach)

using spectroscopic parameter correlations important for data-driven results as follow training dataset

c.f. McMillan et al. (2017) for similar using RAVE DR5

RESULTS — TGAS+RAVE AGES

 $+ \sigma_R + \sigma_\phi + \sigma_z + \sigma_{tot}$

Full RAVE+TGAS sample ~ match GCS

Except have a hotter old component

- Giants sample show expected trends
- Turn-off sample shows flattening and rise below 2 Gyr -> not trustworthy

Split into radial bins

Difficult to interpret as

- 1. Each radial bin has a different selection in vertical height (e.g. we lose young cold stars in the innermost bin)
- 2. For a fixed age, the sampling in vertical height is non-trivial e.g. perhaps more distant stars -> higher vel. dips.

$$\begin{split} f(\boldsymbol{J},\tau,Z,M) &= \int \mathrm{d}J_{\phi}'\,\xi(M)\,\Gamma(\tau)\,K(J_{\phi},J_{\phi}',\tau) \\ \text{Sanders & Binney (2015)} & f(\boldsymbol{J}'|\tau)\,\delta[Z-Z(R_c',\tau)] \end{split}$$

Initial Mass Function $f(\boldsymbol{J}, \tau, Z, M) = \int \mathrm{d}J'_{\phi}\,\xi(M)\,\Gamma(\tau)\,K(J_{\phi}, J'_{\phi}, \tau)$ Sanders & Binney (2015) $f(\boldsymbol{J}'|\tau)\,\delta[Z - Z(R'_c, \tau)]$

CHEMO-DYNAMICAL MODELStar formation rate $f(\boldsymbol{J}, \tau, Z, M) = \int \mathrm{d}J'_{\phi} \,\xi(M) \, \Gamma(\tau) \, K(J_{\phi}, J'_{\phi}, \tau)$ $f(\boldsymbol{J}'|\tau) \, \delta[Z - Z(R'_c, \tau)]$ Sanders & Binney (2015)

$f(\boldsymbol{J}, \tau, \boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{M}) = \int dJ'_{\phi} \xi(\boldsymbol{M}) \Gamma(\tau) K(J_{\phi}, J'_{\phi}, \tau)$ Sanders & Binney (2015) $f(\boldsymbol{J}'|\tau) \delta[\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{Z}(\boldsymbol{R}'_{c}, \tau)]$

ISM metallicity

Radial migration

$f(\boldsymbol{J}, \tau, \boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{M}) = \int dJ'_{\phi} \xi(\boldsymbol{M}) \Gamma(\tau) K(J'_{\phi}, J'_{\phi}, \tau) \int dJ'_{\phi} \xi(\boldsymbol{M}) \Gamma(\tau) K(J'_{\phi}, J'_{\phi}, \tau) \int dJ'_{\phi} \xi(\boldsymbol{M}) \Gamma(\tau) \delta[\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{Z}(\boldsymbol{R}'_{c}, \tau)]$ Sanders & Binney (2015)

$f(\boldsymbol{J}, \tau, Z, M) = \int \mathrm{d}J'_{\phi} \,\xi(M) \,\Gamma(\tau) \,K(J_{\phi}, J'_{\phi}, \tau) \\ f(\boldsymbol{J}'|\tau) \,\delta[Z - Z(R'_{c}, \tau)]$ Sanders & Binney (2015)

Fitted to local data — Geneva-Copenhagen & Gilmore & Reid density (1989)

Necessary for comparing surveys & incorporating survey selection function

DETAILS — DATA — TGAS SELECTION FUNCTION

Comparison to APASS catalogue (filled in with Tycho-2 for V<10).

c.f. Bovy (2017) — comparison to 2-MASS but similar conclusions

DETAILS — DATA — RAVE SELECTION FUNCTION

Wojno et al. (2017) — selection in on-sky position and I-band mag

FITTING EDF TO TGAS+RAVE DATA

- Log-Likelihood of TGAS+RAVE data use [Fe/H], ages, positions, parallaxes, proper motions and radial velocities (8 dimensions)
- Hard bit: Normalize by computing integral of model folded with selection function (TGAS x RAVE) over 9D (including mass) — use a fixed set of samples from a base model (McMillan & Binney 2013). Uses isochrones and an extinction map.
- Using Galactic potential from McMillan (2017)

FITTING EDF TO TGAS+RAVE DATA — RESULTS

Rd(thick)=1.9 kpc, Rd(thin)=4 kpc, $\beta_R=0.34$, $\beta_z=0.42$

 $\sigma(\tau)$ discontinuous at ~7Gyr, **but** thick disc needs structure (particularly vertical)

Blue=data, Green=model

PREDICTIONS FOR TGAS MAIN SEQUENCE DATASET

PECULIAR SOLAR MOTION

- Input model solar velocity is Schoenrich et al. (2012) (U,V,W)=(11.1,12.2,7.2) km/s
- Using Bovy (2017) Oort constants
- (U,V,W)=(8.5,10,7.0) km/s

CONCLUSIONS

1. Heating with Gaia DR1

Ages for TGAS+RAVE giants give $\sigma(\tau)$ and full TGAS sample gives $\sigma(B-V)$. **2. Models of TGAS+RAVE**

Favour broken $\sigma(\tau)$, not smooth thin->thick disc transition, short thick disc scale

3. Peculiar solar motion

U~8.5 km/s V~10 km/s, W=(6.96±0.07) km/s (with Bovy 2017 Oort)

4. Future

Ages should be computed for all Gaia DR2 spectroscopic overlaps (then using Gaia DR3 spectroscopic parameters). Challenging to understand (systematic) errors.